

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,509	08/27/2003	Jeffrey Hubbell	CIT 2606 CIP CON	7219	
23579 PATREA L. P	7590 05/13/2008 ABST	EXAMINER			
	NT GROUP LLP	LANKFORD JR, LEON B			
	' SQUARE, SUITE 1200 FREE STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ATLANTA, C	GA 30361		1651		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/13/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

HUBBELL ET AL.		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

IHE	REPLY FILED 28 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
	nariode

The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 05 February 2008. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a)</li></ul></li></ol>	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fo appeal; and/or	ÞΓ
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ODP over '022 patent.</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	, the
7. \( \times \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \times \) will not be entered, or b) \( \preceq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	of
Claim(s) elioved: Claim(s) Objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>se in final rejection</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	

The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12 Note the attended Informatic volcoura Ctatamant(a) (DTO/CD/00) Danar No/a)

12.	_ racte the att	ached information	Disciosure	statement(s). (i	1 O/Ob/OO) 1 ap	51 1 <b>4</b> O(3)
13. F	Other:					

/Leon B Lankford Jr/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the papers filed 2/5/08 & 3/28/08 have been entered and considered. The amendment field 3/28/08 will be entered. The ODP rejections remain for the reason of record set forth in the final office action of 1/005/07. As noted then, common ownership is a prerequisite for obviating an ODP rejection with a TD not for making the rejection. If the instant application and a patent or application share an inventor, that is sufficient for the claims to be considered for ODP. TD should be submitted for the 744 and 422 patents. Applicant's arguments have overcome the ODP rejection over the '022 patent because the claims of that patent do not render obvious the claimed invention. Applicant correctly identified the examiner's transposition of the numbers in appl number 10/325051, now US Pair 12/47609. Applicant's arguments regarding the ODP over now patent 72/47609 are not persuasive because the patent is not being applied as prior art and the legal basis of the ODP is correct despite the lack of common ownership. The Claims of 72/47609 are drawn to a seesitially the same invention as is instantly claims of read of common ownership. The Claims of 72/47609 are drawn to a species of peptide growth factor, PTH, rather than the genus which the species anticipates. The rejection over copending application 10/32046 are similarly maintained for the reasons of record.